

# Math 3363 Examination I Solutions

Spring 2020

Please use a pencil and do the problems in the order in which they are listed. No books, notes, calculators, cell phones, smart watches, or other electronics.

1. A rod of length  $L$  (units of length), insulated except perhaps at its ends, lies along the  $x$ -axis with its left end at coordinate 0 and its right end at coordinate  $L$ . Let  $e$ ,  $\phi$ , and  $Q$  be as follows. The thermal energy density (energy/length) at  $t$  (units of time after the time origin) at points with first coordinate  $x$  is  $e(x, t)$ . The heat flux (energy/time) to the right at time  $t$  through the cross section consisting of points with first coordinate  $x$  is  $\phi(x, t)$ . (A negative value for  $\phi(x, t)$  indicates heat flow to the left.) The heat energy per unit length being generated per unit time inside the rod at time  $t$  at points with first coordinate  $x$  is  $Q(x, t)$ . (A negative value for  $Q$  indicates a heat sink.) Suppose that  $Q$  is continuous and that  $e$  and  $\phi$  have continuous partial derivatives.

- (a) Suppose that  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq L$ . What is the total thermal energy in the segment from  $a$  to  $b$  at time  $t$ ?

**.Solution.**

$$\int_a^b e(x, t) dx$$

- (b) One way to express the rate of change of this thermal energy is

$$\phi(a, t) - \phi(b, t) + \int_a^b Q(x, t) dx.$$

Express this quantity as a single integral.

**Solution.**

$$\int_a^b \left( -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x, t) + Q(x, t) \right) dx$$

- (c) Starting with your expression in Part (a) for the total energy in the segment from  $a$  to  $b$ , give a second way to express the rate of change of this thermal energy as an integral.

**Solution.**

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_a^b e(x, t) dx = \int_a^b \frac{\partial e}{\partial t}(x, t) dx$$

- (d) In addition to the information given in the statement of the problem, let  $c(x)$  be the specific heat,  $K_0(x)$  be the thermal conductivity, and  $\rho(x)$  be the mass density at points with first coordinate  $x$ , and let  $u(x, t)$  be the temperature at time  $t$  at points with first coordinate  $x$ . Starting with the equation

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + Q \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq L \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

Derive the equation

$$c\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( K_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + Q \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq L \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

**Solution.** According to the definition of temperature,

$$e = c\rho(u - Z)$$

where  $Z$  is a constant. So

$$c\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + Q.$$

According to Fourier's law of heat conduction

$$\phi = -K_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x},$$

so

$$c\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( K_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + Q$$

2. Find the constant  $\beta$  so that the following problem has an equilibrium solution.

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}(x, t) + 1 \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq x \leq 5,$$

$$w(x, 0) = x \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq 5,$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(0, t) = 1, \text{ and } \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(5, t) = \beta \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

**Solution.** The problem for an equilibrium solution is

$$0 = u''(x) + 1$$

$$u'(0) = 1 \text{ and } u'(5) = \beta.$$

From the DE we get

$$\int_0^5 u''(x)dx = \int_0^5 (-1)dx$$

so

$$u'(5) - u'(0) = -5 \text{ or } \beta - 1 = -5.$$

Thus

$$\beta = -4.$$

3. Find the equilibrium solution with no undetermined constants in the previous problem..

**Solution..** The problem for an equilibrium solution is

$$0 = u''(x) + 1,$$

$$u'(0) = 1 \text{ and } u'(5) = -4.$$

From the DE we get

$$u'(x) = -x + c_1$$

then

$$u(x) = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + c_1x + c_2.$$

From  $u'(0) = 1$  it follows that

$$c_1 = 1$$

so

$$u(x) = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + x + c_2.$$

To find  $c_2$  we first show that  $\int_0^5 w(x, t)dx$  is constant in  $t$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^5 w(x, t)dx &= \int_0^5 \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(x, t)dx \\ &= \int_0^5 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}(x, t) + 1 dx \\ &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(5, t) - \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(0, t) + 5 \\ &= -4 - 1 + 5 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\int_0^5 w(x, t) dx$  is constant in  $t$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^5 w(x, 0) dx &= \int_0^5 w(x, t) dx \text{ (any } t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^5 w(x, t) dx \\ &= \int_0^5 \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(x, t) dx = \int_0^5 u(x) dx.\end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$\int_0^5 x dx = \int_0^5 \left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2 + x + c_2\right) dx$$

or

$$\frac{25}{2} = -\frac{25}{3} + 5c_2.$$

So

$$c_2 = \frac{25}{6}$$

and

$$u(x) = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + x + \frac{25}{6}.$$

4. Consider the following two-point boundary value problem in which  $L$  is a positive number.

- (i)  $-\varphi''(x) = \lambda\varphi(x)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq L$ ,
- (ii)  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , and
- (iii)  $\varphi(L) + \varphi'(L) = 0$ .

Use the Rayleigh Quotient to show that all eigenvalues are non negative.

**Solution.** Suppose that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue and  $\varphi$  is a corresponding eigenfunction. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= \frac{\varphi(0)\varphi'(0) - \varphi(L)\varphi'(L) + \int_0^L (\varphi'(x))^2 dx}{\int_0^L (\varphi(x))^2 dx} \\ &= \frac{0 \cdot \varphi'(0) - \varphi(L)(-\varphi(L)) + \int_0^L (\varphi'(x))^2 dx}{\int_0^L (\varphi(x))^2 dx} \\ &= \frac{(\varphi(L))^2 + \int_0^L (\varphi'(x))^2 dx}{\int_0^L (\varphi(x))^2 dx} \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

5. Is the number zero an eigenvalue for the two-point boundary value problem in Problem 4? Explain why or why not.

**Solution** Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a solution to (i), (ii), and (iii) when  $\lambda = 0$ . From (i),

$$\varphi'(x) = c_1$$

and

$$\varphi(x) = c_1x + c_2.$$

Then from (ii),

$$c_2 = 0,$$

and from (iii),

$$c_1L + c_1 = 0 \text{ implying } (L + 1)c_1 = 0$$

so

$$c_1 = 0.$$

Thus

$$\varphi(x) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq L.$$

Since the only solution is the zero function, the number zero is not an eigenvalue.

6. For the two-point boundary value problem given in Problem 4, find the matrix  $D(\lambda)$  and the determinant  $\Delta(\lambda)$  in the case where  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Solution.** The boundary conditions are equivalent to

$$\beta_1\varphi(0) + \beta_2\varphi'(0) = 0$$

and

$$\beta_3\varphi(L) + \beta_4\varphi'(L) = 0$$

where  $\beta_1 = 1$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0$ ,  $\beta_3 = 1$ , and  $\beta_4 = 1$  so

$$D(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Phi_\lambda(0) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Phi_\lambda(L)$$

where

$$\Phi_\lambda(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \sqrt{\lambda}x & \sin \sqrt{\lambda}x \\ -\sqrt{\lambda} \sin \sqrt{\lambda}x & \sqrt{\lambda} \cos \sqrt{\lambda}x \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$D(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \sqrt{\lambda}L & \sin \sqrt{\lambda}L \\ -\sqrt{\lambda} \sin \sqrt{\lambda}L & \sqrt{\lambda} \cos \sqrt{\lambda}L \end{pmatrix},$$

so

$$D(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \cos L\sqrt{\lambda} - \sqrt{\lambda} \sin L\sqrt{\lambda} & \sin L\sqrt{\lambda} + \sqrt{\lambda} \cos L\sqrt{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \det D(\lambda) = \sin L\sqrt{\lambda} + \sqrt{\lambda} \cos L\sqrt{\lambda}.$$

7. Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a region in the plane with the property that if each of  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  is in  $\mathcal{D}$  then each of  $(x_1, y_2)$  and  $(x_2, y_1)$  is in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Suppose that  $F(x) = G(y)$  whenever  $(x, y)$  is in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Show that there is a constant  $C$  such that if  $(x, y)$  is in  $\mathcal{D}$ , then

$$F(x) = C = G(y).$$

**Solution.** Let  $(x_0, y_0)$  be a point in  $\mathcal{D}$  and let

$$C = F(x_0) = G(y_0).$$

Suppose that  $(x, y)$  is a point in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then each of  $(x, y)$  and  $(x_0, y_0)$  is in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Since  $(x, y_0)$  is in  $\mathcal{D}$ , it follows that

$$F(x) = G(y_0) = C.$$

Since  $(x_0, y)$  is in  $\mathcal{D}$ , it follows that

$$F(x_0) = G(y) = C.$$

Thus

$$F(x) = C = G(y).$$

8. Suppose that  $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^n$  is orthogonal on  $[0, L]$  and  $\langle \phi_k, \phi_k \rangle \neq 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Suppose that  $f = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k$ . Derive a formula that gives  $c_k$  in terms of  $f$ ,  $\phi_k$ , and the inner product. Suggestion: Note that the summation index can be changed. For example,

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j$$

**Solution.** For  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\langle f, \phi_k \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j, \phi_k \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \langle \phi_j, \phi_k \rangle.$$

Since  $\langle \phi_j, \phi_k \rangle = 0$  when  $j \neq k$ ,

$$\langle f, \phi_k \rangle = c_k \langle \phi_k, \phi_k \rangle$$

so

$$c_k = \frac{\langle f, \phi_k \rangle}{\langle \phi_k, \phi_k \rangle}.$$

9. Suppose that each of  $L$  and  $\kappa$  is a positive number.

(a) Suppose that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) = \kappa \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x, t) \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq x \leq L,$$

that

$$u(x, t) = \varphi(x)G(t),$$

and that

$$u(x, t) \neq 0$$

for  $0 \leq x \leq L$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Derive ordinary differential equations for  $\varphi$  and  $G$ .

**Solution.** From the PDE, it follows that

$$\varphi(x)G'(t) = \kappa\varphi''(x)G(t).$$

Dividing each side of this equation by  $\kappa\varphi(x)G(t)$  produces

$$\frac{G'(t)}{\kappa G(t)} = \frac{\varphi''(x)}{\varphi(x)}.$$

Since this is true for  $t \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq x \leq L$ , it follows that there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$\frac{G'(t)}{\kappa G(t)} = C = \frac{\varphi''(x)}{\varphi(x)}.$$

Renaming  $C$  to be  $-\lambda$  it follows that

$$-\varphi''(x) = \lambda\varphi(x) \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq L$$

and

$$G'(t) + \kappa\lambda G(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

(b) Suppose that

$$u(x, t) = \varphi(x)G(t) \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq x \leq L,$$

$$\beta_1 u(0, t) + \beta_2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, t) = 0,$$

and

$$\beta_3 u(L, t) + \beta_4 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(L, t) = 0$$

for  $t \geq 0$ . Also suppose that

$$u(x_0, t_0) \neq 0$$

for some  $(x_0, t_0)$ . Show that

$$\beta_1 \varphi(0) + \beta_2 \varphi'(0) = 0$$

and

$$\beta_3\varphi(L) + \beta_4\varphi'(L) = 0.$$

**Solution.** We have

$$\beta_1\varphi(0)G(t) + \beta_2\varphi'(0)G(t) = 0,$$

and

$$\beta_3\varphi(L)G(t) + \beta_4\varphi'(L)G(t) = 0$$

for  $t \geq 0$  so

$$\beta_1\varphi(0)G(t_0) + \beta_2\varphi'(0)G(t_0) = 0, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\beta_3\varphi(L)G(t_0) + \beta_4\varphi'(L)G(t_0) = 0. \tag{2}$$

Since  $u(x_0, t_0) \neq 0$ , it follows that  $G(t_0) \neq 0$ , and dividing each side of (1) and (2) by  $G(t_0)$  produces

$$\beta_1\varphi(0) + \beta_2\varphi'(0) = 0$$

and

$$\beta_3\varphi(L) + \beta_4\varphi'(L) = 0.$$

10. Establish the following convergence results.

(a) Evaluate

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-kt}.$$

when  $t$  is a positive number. Then show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-k^2t}$$

exists and is finite when  $t$  is a positive number.

**Solution.**

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k = \frac{1}{1-r} \text{ when } -1 < r < 1$$

so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^k = \frac{1}{1-r} - 1 = \frac{r}{1-r} \text{ when } -1 < r < 1.$$

$$0 < e^{-t} < 1 \text{ when } t > 0$$

so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-kt} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (e^{-t})^k = \frac{e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}}$$



Since

$$0 \leq e^{-k^2 t} \leq e^{-kt}$$

when  $t > 0$ , it follows from the comparison test that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-k^2 t}$$

exists and is finite.

(b) Suppose that  $\{c_k\}$  is a bounded sequence of numbers and

$$S_n(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k (\sin kx) e^{-k^2 t}$$

for  $0 \leq x \leq \pi$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Suppose that  $t_0$  is a positive number and  $\mathcal{D}$  is the set consisting of all  $(x, t)$  where  $0 \leq x \leq L$  and  $t \geq t_0$ . Show that  $\{S_n\}$  converges uniformly on  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Solution.** Let  $B$  be a bound for the sequence  $\{c_k\}$ . Then

$$|c_k (\sin kx) e^{-k^2 t}| \leq |c_k| \cdot |\sin kx| \cdot |e^{-k^2 t}| \leq B \cdot 1 \cdot e^{-k^2 t} \leq B e^{-k^2 t_0}$$

for all  $(x, t)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-k^2 t_0}$$

hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B e^{-k^2 t_0}$$

exists and is finite by Part a, so the uniform convergence of  $\{S_n\}$  follows from the Weierstrass M-Test with

$$M_k = B e^{-k^2 t_0}.$$