

Bass 2.2 Set  $X = [0,1]$ . The collections

$$\hat{A}_1 = \left\{ \emptyset, [0,1], [0, \frac{3}{4}), [\frac{3}{4}, 1] \right\}$$

$$\hat{A}_2 = \left\{ \emptyset, [0,1], [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}], (\frac{1}{4}, 1) \right\}$$

are  $\sigma$ -algebras, but  $\hat{A}_1 \cup \hat{A}_2$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra, since  $[0, \frac{3}{4}) \cap (\frac{1}{4}, 1) = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}) \notin \hat{A}_1 \cup \hat{A}_2$ .

Bass 2.3 Let us work with  $\mathbb{N}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\hat{C}_n$  be the collection of all subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\hat{A}_n$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\{\cdot\}$  with underlying space  $\mathbb{N}^3$  generated by  $\hat{C}_n$ . We have

$$\hat{A}_n = \left\{ A \subset \mathbb{N} : A \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}$$

$$\cup \left\{ A \cup \{n+1, n+2, n+3, \dots\} : A \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}.$$

By construction,  $\hat{A}_1 \subset \hat{A}_2 \subset \hat{A}_3 \subset \dots$ . However,

$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{A}_n$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra, since  $\{2k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$   $\notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{A}_n$ , but each element of this set does lie in  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{A}_n$ .

Bass 2.5 The idea here is that preimages behave well.

- (1)  $\emptyset \in \hat{B}$ , since  $\emptyset = f^{-1}(\emptyset)$  and  $\emptyset \in \hat{A}$ .
- (2) Let  $B \in \hat{B}$ . Then  $B = f^{-1}(A)$  for some  $A \in \hat{A}$ . Since  $Y \setminus A \in \hat{A}$ , we have  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus A) = X \setminus f^{-1}(A) \in \hat{B}$ .
- (3) Let  $(B_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of sets in  $\hat{B}$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B_i = f^{-1}(A_i)$  for some  $A_i \in \hat{A}$ . Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \hat{A}$ , so  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(A_i) = f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \in \hat{B}$ .

Bass 2.7 First,  $\emptyset \in \hat{A}$ , since  $\chi_{\emptyset}$  is the zero function, and  $F$  contains all constant functions. Second, suppose  $A \in \hat{A}$ . Then  $\chi_A \in F$ , so  $\chi_{A^c} = 1 - \chi_A \in F$ , since  $F$  is closed with respect to scalar multiplication and addition, and  $1 \in F$ . We conclude that  $A^c \in \hat{A}$ . It remains to show that  $\hat{A}$  is closed with respect to countable unions.

Let  $(A_i)$  be a sequence of sets in  $\hat{A}$ . We first show that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \in \hat{A}$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Sigma_n$  be the space of sequences of length  $n$  consisting of zeros and ones. We have the representation

$$\chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \prod_{j=1}^n \chi_{E_j},$$

$\sigma_i = 1$  for some  
 $1 \leq i \leq n$

where  $E_j = A_j$  if  $\sigma_j = 1$  and  $E_j = A_j^c$  if  $\sigma_j = 0$ .

By our assumptions on  $F$  and since  $\hat{A}$  is closed with respect to complements,  $\chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i} \in F$ . But then

$\chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i}$  is the pointwise limit of the sequence

$\chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i}$ , so  $\chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i} \in F$ , since  $F$  is closed with respect to pointwise limits. We conclude that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i \in \hat{A}$ .

Bass 2.8 | We proceed by way of contradiction. Suppose there exists a countably infinite  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\hat{A} = \{\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \dots\}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the set of sequences  $(\gamma_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  of zeros and ones. For each  $(\gamma_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in \Sigma$ , define the set  $S_{(\gamma_i)} = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty E_i$ , where  $E_i = A_i$  if  $i=1$  and  $E_i = A_i^c$  if  $i=0$ . Note that  $S_{(\gamma_i)} \in \hat{A}$  for every  $(\gamma_i) \in \Sigma$ . One can think of the  $S_{(\gamma_i)}$  as "atoms": Every element of  $\hat{A}$  may be expressed as a union of such atoms. Let  $\hat{S} = \{S_{(\gamma_i)} : (\gamma_i) \in \Sigma\}$ .

There are two cases to consider.

Case 1:  $\hat{S}$  is a finite collection. This would imply that  $\hat{A}$  is a finite collection, a contradiction.

Case 2:  $\hat{S}$  is infinite. In this case,  $\hat{A}$  would be uncountable, a contradiction.  $\square$

## Assignment 1 Solutions

Bass 3.2 It remains to show that  $\mu$  is countably additive. Let  $(A_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of pairwise-disjoint measurable sets. Define  $E_n = \bigcup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} A_i$ . Then the sequence  $(E_n)$  decreases to  $\emptyset$ , so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) = 0, \text{ or}$$

$$(*) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = 0.$$

Since  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , the left side breaks apart, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i). \end{aligned}$$

Bass 3.3 First observe that  $\hat{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Certainly  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , since  $\emptyset$  is countable. Now let  $(A_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of pairwise-disjoint elements of  $\hat{A}$ . If all of the  $A_i$  are countable, then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  is countable, so  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$ . Now suppose  $A_j$  is uncountable for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $A_j^c$  is countable, so  $1 = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mu(A_i) + \mu(A_j) + \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$ , since  $A_j$  is uncountable and  $A_i \subset A_j^c \forall i \neq j$ . Also  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 1$ , since the set  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  is uncountable.

Bass 3.4 By countable additivity, we have that  $\mu(A \setminus B) + \mu(A \cap B) + \mu(B \setminus A) + \mu(B \cap A)$  is equal to  $\mu(A) + \mu(B)$ , and also to  $\mu(A \cup B) + \mu(A \cap B)$ .

Bass 3.6 | The point of this exercise is that we may define new measures by restricting  $\mu$ . Fix  $B \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ . We have  $\nu(\phi) = \mu(\phi \cap B) = \mu(\phi) = 0$ . Now let  $(A_i)$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint elements of  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &= \mu\left(\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right] \cap B\right) \\ &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B \cap A_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i \cap B) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i).\end{aligned}$$

Bass 3.9 | This problem is tricky, since we do not have a convenient way to represent an arbitrary Borel set. Instead, let's argue indirectly. First assume  $m(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$  and  $n(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$ . Notice that  $m(\mathbb{R}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m((-k, k)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n((-k, k)) = n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Define  $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \{[a, b] : -\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty\}$ . Since  $m$  and  $n$  agree on intervals (open, finite length), it follows that  $m$  and  $n$  agree on every set in  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ . Next,  $m$  and  $n$  agree on the algebra of sets  $\text{Alg}(\hat{\mathcal{H}})$  generated by  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ . [Why?]

Now define  $\hat{\mathcal{D}} = \{A \in \hat{\mathcal{B}} : m(A) = n(A)\}$ . By the above,  $\hat{\mathcal{D}} \supset \text{Alg}(\hat{\mathcal{H}})$ . But  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  is a monotone class! (Why?) By the monotone class theorem (Bass Thm. 2.10),  $\hat{\mathcal{D}} = \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ .

Now we treat the general case. Let  $B \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}m(B) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m(B \cap (-k, k)) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n(B \cap (-k, k)) = n(B).\end{aligned}$$

Here we use that the measures  $m_k$  and  $n_k$  defined by  $m_k(B) = m(B \cap (-k, k))$  and  $n_k(B) = n(B \cap (-k, k))$  are equal  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$  by the above.  $\square$