

Advanced Calculus

Professor David Wagner

¹Department of Mathematics
University of Houston

August 28

Examples

Example

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log k}{k} = 0.$$

Given k , choose m so that $(m-1)^2 \leq k < m^2$. Check that $m^2 < 2^{m-1}$ if $m \geq 7$. With $m = 7$ we have $6^2 \leq k < 7^2$. Then for $k \geq 36$ we have (take the log of $k < m^2 < 2^{m-1}$):

$$\log k < \log(m^2) < \log(2^{m-1}) = (m-1) \log 2 \leq \sqrt{k} \log 2.$$

Then

$$\frac{\log k}{k} < \frac{\log 2}{\sqrt{k}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem

For $b > 1$ and any r ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^r}{b^k} = 0.$$

Proof.

Since $\frac{\log k}{k} \rightarrow 0$, there is N such that $\frac{\log k}{k} < \frac{\log b}{r+1}$ for $k > N$. Then $(1+r)\log k < k \log b$, or $k^{r+1} < b^k$. So $\frac{k^r}{b^k} < \frac{1}{k} \rightarrow 0$. \square

Corollary

If $0 < a < 1$, $a^k \rightarrow 0$.

The Monotone Sequence Property

Definition

A sequence $\{a_k\}$ of real numbers is *increasing* if $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and it is *decreasing* if $a_{n+1} \leq a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. A sequence of real numbers is *monotonic* if it is either increasing or decreasing.

We will take the following statement, called *The Monotonic Sequence Property* as an axiom:

Every bounded monotonic sequence of real numbers is convergent.

Examples

Example

Let $b_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1}$. Then $\{b_n\}$ is decreasing and bounded, so it converges (to e).

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{b_n}{b_{n+1}} &= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1}}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{n+2}} = \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n+1} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)^{n+2} \\ &= \left(\frac{n^2 + 2n + 1}{n^2 + 2n}\right)^{n+1} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^2 + 2n}\right)^{n+1} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma to finish

Lemma

For any integer $m > 0$ and any $x > 0$,

$$(1 + x)^m > 1 + mx.$$

Proof.

By the binomial theorem,

$$(1 + x)^m = 1 + mx + \text{positive terms} > 1 + mx$$



Then

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{n^2 + 2n}\right)^{n+1} > 1 + (n+1) \left(\frac{1}{n^2 + 2n}\right) = \frac{n^2 + 3n + 1}{n^2 + 2n}.$$

Finish

Then

$$\frac{b_n}{b_{n+1}} > \left(\frac{n^2 + 3n + 1}{n^2 + 2n} \right) \left(\frac{n + 1}{n + 2} \right) = \frac{n^3 + 4n^2 + 4n + 1}{n^3 + 4n^2 + 4n} > 1.$$

So $b_n > b_{n+1}$, thus $\{b_n\}$ is decreasing. Since $4 \geq b_n > 0$, $\{b_n\}$ is also bounded. Thus, by the Monotonic Sequence Property, b_n converges to some L , $0 \leq L \leq 4$. If $a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{-1} b_n$, a_n converges to the same limit.

Extracting square roots

Theorem

Let A and x_1 be any positive numbers. Let $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{A}{x_n} \right)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then x_n converges to \sqrt{A} .

Proof.

Assume $x_1 \neq \sqrt{A}$. We show that after x_2 , the sequence is decreasing.

$$\begin{aligned}(x_2)^2 - A &= \frac{1}{4} \left(x_1^2 + 2A + \frac{A^2}{x_1^2} \right) - A \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(x_1^2 - 2A + \frac{A^2}{x_1^2} \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(x_1 - \frac{A}{x_1} \right)^2 > 0.\end{aligned}$$

So for any non-zero choice of x_1 , $x_2 > \sqrt{A}$. □

More extraction

Similarly, $x_n > \sqrt{A}$ for all $n \geq 2$. The sequence is decreasing because

$$x_n - x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{A}{x_n} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n - \frac{A}{x_n} \right) = \frac{x_n^2 - A}{2x_n} > 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - \sqrt{A} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{A}{x_n} \right) - \sqrt{A} = \frac{(x_n - \sqrt{A})^2}{2x_n} \\ &< \frac{(x_n - \sqrt{A})^2}{2\sqrt{A}}, \end{aligned}$$

the convergence is rapid.

Cauchy Sequences

Definition

A sequence $\{p_n\}$ is said to be a *Cauchy Sequence* if, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a number N such that $\|p_n - p_m\| < \epsilon$ whenever both n and m are larger than N .

A Cauchy sequence is a sequence that looks as though it ought to converge. The main question about convergence is the existence of a point p to which p_n converges. The Monotone Convergence Property of the real numbers can be shown to imply that all Cauchy Sequences in \mathbb{R}^n converge.

Example

Let

$$x_n = \int_1^n \frac{\cos t}{t^2} dt.$$

This is a Cauchy Sequence:

$$|x_n - x_m| = \left| \int_m^n \frac{\cos t}{t^2} dt \right| \leq \int_m^n \frac{1}{t^2} dt = \left| \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \right|.$$

The Monotone Convergence Property also implies the Least Upper Bound property:

If S is a set of real numbers which is bounded above, then there is a real number x which is the least upper bound of S .

Nested Closed Interval Theorem

The next theorem is very useful:

Theorem

Let $\{I_n\}$ be a sequence of non-empty bounded closed intervals in \mathbb{R} with $I_{n+1} \subset I_n$. Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \phi$, i.e., there is at least one number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \in I_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof.

Let $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$. Since $I_{n+1} \subset I_n$, $a_n \leq a_{n+1}$ and $b_{n+1} \leq b_n$. Thus, the Monotone Convergence Property implies that there are real numbers a and b such that $a_n \rightarrow a$ and $b_n \rightarrow b$. We have $a = \text{lub}(a_n)$ and $b = \text{glb}(b_n)$. Since $b_n \geq a_m$ for all n, m , $b_n \geq a$, and finally $b \geq a$. Then $[a, b]$ is non-empty and a subset of every I_n . □

Bolzano-Weierstrass

Theorem (Bolzano-Weierstrass)

Every bounded infinite set of real numbers has a cluster point.

Proof.

Let S be an infinite subset of the interval $[a_1, b_1]$. Since $[a_1, b_1] = \left[a_1, \frac{a_1+b_1}{2} \right] \cup \left[\frac{a_1+b_1}{2}, b_1 \right]$, at least one of these halves must contain infinitely many points of S . Define $a_2 < b_2$ so that $[a_2, b_2]$ is such a half. Continue in this fashion to construct a nested sequence of bounded closed intervals I_n , each of which contains infinitely many points of S , and with $b_n - a_n \rightarrow 0$. By the previous theorem, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ is non empty with zero width, so it contains a single point, which must be a cluster point of S . \square

Bounded sequence theorem

Theorem

Every bounded sequence of real numbers has a limit point, and therefore has a converging subsequence.

Cauchy Sequences Converge

Theorem

Any Cauchy sequence of real numbers is convergent.

Proof.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence of real numbers. Then it must be bounded. Let $\alpha = \liminf x_n$ and let $\beta = \limsup x_n$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since x_n is Cauchy, there is N such that for $n, m > N$, $|x_n - x_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. There are numbers $n_1, m_1 > N$ such that $|x_{n_1} - \alpha| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ and $|\beta - x_{m_1}| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Then

$$|\beta - \alpha| \leq |\beta - x_{m_1}| + |x_{m_1} - x_{n_1}| + |x_{n_1} - \alpha| < \epsilon.$$

Since this is true for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\alpha = \beta$. As a consequence, $x_n \rightarrow \alpha$. □

Cauchy Sequences Converge in \mathbb{R}^n

Corollary

Any Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R}^n converges.

Proof.

If $p_k = (x_{1k}, \dots, x_{nk})$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R}^n , then each x_{jk} , $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} . Hence each $x_{jk} \rightarrow x_j$. Then $p_k \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. (Exercise 35, Section 1.6). \square

Many proofs that a problem has a solution proceed as follow:

- 1 Construct a sequence of approximate solutions.
- 2 Show that the constructed sequence lies in a *compact* subset of some space (which usually has infinite dimension).
- 3 Compactness guarantees that a subsequence must converge to a limit.
- 4 Show that the kind of convergence is good enough to ensure that the limit actually solves the problem.

Definition

A collection \mathcal{S} of open sets \mathcal{O}_α is said to be an open covering of the set K if $K \subset \bigcup_\alpha \mathcal{O}_\alpha$. The covering is said to be a finite covering if \mathcal{S} consists of only a finite number of open sets.

Definition

A set K is called *compact* if every open covering of K can be reduced to a finite covering. This means that there must exist a finite sub-collection \mathcal{S}_0 of the original open sets which is still a covering of K .