

Advanced Calculus

Professor David Wagner

¹Department of Mathematics
University of Houston

September 4

Definitions

Recall from last lecture:

Definition

A collection \mathcal{S} of open sets \mathcal{O}_α is said to be an open covering of the set K if $K \subset \bigcup_\alpha \mathcal{O}_\alpha$. The covering is said to be a finite covering if \mathcal{S} consists of only a finite number of open sets.

Definition

A set K is called *compact* if every open covering of K can be reduced to a finite covering. This means that there must exist a finite sub-collection \mathcal{S}_0 of the original open sets which is still a covering of K .

Theorem

The interval $[a, b]$ is compact.

- Suppose \mathcal{S} is an open covering of $[a, b]$.
- If $x \in [a, b]$ then \mathcal{S} also covers $[a, x]$.
- Let x be the *l.u.b.* of
 $A = \{y : [a, y] \text{ has a finite subcover from } \mathcal{S}\}$.
- Since $a \in A$, A is not empty.
- Since $x \in [a, b]$, there is an open set $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $x \in \mathcal{O}$.
- Since \mathcal{O} is open, there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subset \mathcal{O}$.

- Since $x = l.u.b.(A)$, there is $y \in A \cap (x - \epsilon, x)$.
- Then there is a finite subcover from \mathcal{S} of $[a, y]$:
 $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$.
- Then $\{U_1, \dots, U_k, \mathcal{O}\}$ is a finite subcover of $[a, x]$ from \mathcal{S} .
- Thus, $x \in A$.
- If $x < b$, then there is $z \in (x, b) \cap (x, x + \epsilon)$.
- Then $[a, z] \subset U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_k \cup \mathcal{O}$.
- Thus, $x < b \implies x \neq l.u.b.(A)$. Since $x = l.u.b.(A)$, $x = b$.
- Thus $b \in A$, so $[a, b]$ has a finite subcover from \mathcal{S} .
- So $[a, b]$ is compact. □

Theorems about compact sets

Theorem (Heine-Borel)

The compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n are exactly those that are closed and bounded.

Do exercises 1 and 2 on page 69 to show that every compact set in \mathbb{R}^n . To prove the converse:

Lemma (Spivak, *Calculus on Manifolds* p. 8)

If B is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^m , $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and \mathcal{O} is an open covering of $\{x\} \times B$, then there is an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $U \times B$ is covered by a finite number of sets in \mathcal{O} .

Proof of Lemma:

- Clearly $\{x\} \times B$ is compact.
- So there is a finite subcover of $\{x\} \times B$ from \mathcal{O} , which we will still label \mathcal{O} .
- Then we need only show that there is an open U such that $U \times B$ is covered by \mathcal{O} .
- For each $y \in B$ there is $W \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $(x, y) \in W$.
- Since W is open, there are open sets $U_y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $V_y \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $(x, y) \in U_y \times V_y \subset W$.
- The sets V_y cover B which is compact, so a finite number V_{y_1}, \dots, V_{y_k} also cover B .
- Let $U = U_{y_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{y_k}$.
- If $(x', y') \in U \times B$, then $y' \in V_{y_i}$ for some i , and $x' \in U_{y_i}$.
- So $(x', y') \in U_{y_i} \times V_{y_i}$ which is a subset of some $W \in \mathcal{O}$.

Theorem

If A is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n and B is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^m , then $A \times B$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+m} .

Proof:

- If \mathcal{O} is an open cover of $A \times B$, then for each $x \in A$, \mathcal{O} covers $\{x\} \times B$.
- By the Lemma, there is an open set $U_x \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $U_x \times B$ has an open subcover from \mathcal{O} .
- Since A is compact, A is covered by a finite set $\{U_{x_1}, \dots, U_{x_k}\}$.
- Since U_{x_i} is covered by a finite subset of \mathcal{O} , $A \times B$ is covered by a finite union of finite subsets of \mathcal{O} .
- Thus, $A \times B$ is compact.

Corollary

Any closed and bounded rectangle $[a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$ is compact.

Theorem

Any closed subset of a compact set is compact.

Corollary (Heine-Borel)

Every closed and bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n is compact.

More theorems about compact sets

Theorem (Bolzano-Weierstrass #1)

Any bounded infinite set in \mathbb{R}^n has a cluster point.

- Let C be a bounded infinite subset of \mathbb{R}^n .
- Then $D = \overline{C}$ is closed and bounded, hence by Heine-Borel, D is compact.
- If C has no cluster point, then every point in D has an open neighborhood which contains only finitely many points of C .
- The collection of these open sets covers D , which is compact. So D is covered by a finite subset of this collection. But then C is a finite union of finite sets.
- Thus, $((C \text{ is bounded}) \implies ((C \text{ has no cluster point}) \implies (C \text{ is finite})))$.
- This is the contrapositive of $((C \text{ is bounded}) \implies ((C \text{ is infinite}) \implies (C \text{ has a cluster point})))$

More theorems about compact sets

Theorem (Bolzano-Weierstrass #2)

Any bounded sequence in \mathbb{R}^n has a limit point, and thus has a converging subsequence.

More theorems about compact sets

Theorem

If $C_1 \supset C_2 \supset C_3 \supset \dots$ is a nested sequence of nonempty compact sets, then their intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ is nonempty.

- Suppose $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = \phi$. Then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^c \supset C_1$.
- Since C_1 is compact, and each C_n^c is open, a finite subcollection $C_{n_1}^c, \dots, C_{n_k}^c$ covers C_1 .
- Then $\bigcap_{j=1}^k C_{n_j} = C_{n_k} = \phi$.
- Thus C_n compact and $C_{n+1} \subset C_n \implies ((C_n \neq \phi) \implies (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \neq \phi))$

Another theorem

Theorem

If S is any bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\delta > 0$ is given, then it is possible to choose a finite set of points p_1, \dots, p_m in S so that any $p \in S$ is within distance δ of p_j for some j .

- S is covered by $\{B(p, \delta) : p \in S\}$.
- This collection also covers \bar{S} , which is compact.
- Then some finite subset $\{B(p_i, \delta) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$ covers \bar{S} .
- Then every $p \in S$ is within distance δ of some p_i .